



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/081,941	02/22/2002	Ferdinand Hendriks	YOR920010731US1(15160)	4472

7590 04/07/2005
SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER
400 Garden City Plaza
Garden City, NY 11530

EXAMINER

DOAN, DUYEN MY

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2143

DATE MAILED: 04/07/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/081,941

Applicant(s)

HENDRIKS ET AL.

Examiner

Duyen M Doan

Art Unit

2143

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 August 0202.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-55 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 12 August 2002 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2143

Detail Action

Claims 1-55 are presented for examination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-13, 17-18, 19-30, 35, 36-47, 52-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun et al (us 2002/0143994) (hereinafter Sun) in view of Becker et al (us 2002/0130904) (hereinafter Becker).

As regarding claim 1, Sun discloses establishing a connection to a messaging service (pg.3-4, paragraph 29); inputting handwritten stroke information message objects into a current message (pg.3-4, paragraph 29); transmitting said current message to said messaging service (pg.3-4, paragraph 29), handwritten stroke information annotation by users (pg.3-4, paragraph 29). Sun does not expressly disclose messaging service keeps a record of all said current messages for distribution to users of said messaging service.

Becker teaches messaging service keeps a record of all said current messages for distribution to users of said messaging service (pg.2, paragraph 13, pg.3, paragraph 35).

It is obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Becker with Sun's method to have messaging service keeps a record of all said current messages for distribution to users for the purpose of managing multiple messaging sessions graphically on the user's device (see Becker pg.2, paragraph 17).

As regarding claim 2, Sun-Becker discloses message objects are IM objects; and said current message is an IM record (see Sun pg.3-4, paragraph 29).

As regarding claim 3, Sun-Becker discloses copying a plurality of current messages from other applications (see Becker pg.2, paragraph 13, pg.3, paragraph 35). The same motivation was utilized in claim 1 applied equally well to claim 3.

As regarding claim 4, Sun-Becker discloses messaging service is of a peer-to-peer type (see Becker pg.2, paragraph 17). The same motivation was utilized in claim 1 applied equally well to claim 4.

As regarding claim 5, Sun-Becker discloses forwarding said handwritten stroke information to at least one participant (see Sun pg.3, paragraph 25).

As regarding claim 6, Sun-Becker discloses appending said handwritten stroke information onto an existing said record (see Sun pg.3, paragraph 25).

As regarding claim 7, Sun-Becker discloses establishing said connection is initiated by a first of a plurality of said users of said messaging service (see Sun pg.3, paragraph 25).

As regarding claim 8, Sun-Becker discloses providing a graphical user interface for said user; and said graphical user interface including a handwritten stroke input field (see Becker pg.7, paragraph 59, Fig.1, image pad 130).

As regarding claim 9, Sun-Becker discloses graphical user interface comprises an awareness field (see Becker pg.4, paragraph 38-39, Fig.1, pals panel 100).

As regarding claim 10, Sun-Becker discloses graphical user interface comprises a text input field (see Becker pg.7, paragraph 59, Fig.1, text pad 136).

As regarding claim 11, Sun-Becker discloses entering text into said text input field wherein said text is associated with said message objects for transmission to said messaging service (see Becker pg.7, paragraph 59-61).

As regarding claim 12, Sun-Becker discloses entering handwritten stroke information into said handwritten stroke input field wherein said handwritten stroke information is associated with said message objects for transmission to said messaging service (see Becker pg.7, paragraph 59-61, user draw or write on the graphical input device).

As regarding claim 13, Sun-Becker discloses logging and displaying a complete history of said current messages in a recording field of said graphical user interface (pg.4, paragraph 38-39, Fig.1, message history panel 120).

As regarding claim 18, Sun-Becker discloses searching said record based on user-selected criteria (see Becker pg.6, paragraph 55).

As regarding claims 19-30 the limitations are similar to claims 1-13, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claims 1-13.

Art Unit: 2143

As regarding claim 35 the limitations are similar to claim 18, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claims 18.

As regarding claims 36-47 the limitations are similar to claims 1-13, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claims 1-13.

As regarding claim 52 the limitations are similar to claim 18, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claims 18.

As regarding claim 53, the limitations are similar to claim 1, limitation in claim 55 are broader than claim 1, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claim 1.

As regarding claim 54, Sun-Becker disclose inputting said information message objects wherein said information comprises speech (see Becker pg.6, paragraph 55); annotating said information message objects wherein said annotating comprises speech (see Becker pg.6, paragraph 55).

As regarding claim 55, Sun-Becker disclose inputting said information message objects wherein said information comprises gestures (see Becker pg.6-7, paragraph 55-60); annotating said information message objects wherein said annotating comprises gestures (see Becker pg.6-7, paragraph 55-60).

Claims 14-17, 31-34, 48-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sun-Becker as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Lloyd et al us pat 6779178 (hereinafter Lloyd).

As regarding claim 14, Sun and Becker disclose all the limitation of claim 1 above, but fail to disclose current messages contains at least one URL for providing

Art Unit: 2143

location information of an associated said message objects in said record. Lloyd teaches one of current messages contain at least one URL for providing location information of an associated said message objects in said record (col.22, lines 34-46).

It is obvious to one with ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine the teaching of Lloyd with the method of Sun-Becker to have messages contain URL for providing information location for the purpose of when the text is displayed with a URL in the status bar, user viewing the message could go to the URL by clicking on the link (see Lloyd col.22, lines 43-46).

As regarding claim 15, Sun-Becker-Lloyd discloses each of said plurality of users may navigate through said recording field to said associated said message objects by selecting said at least one URL whereby said associated said message objects are displayed to said user (see Lloyd col.22, lines 43-46). The same motivation was utilized in claim 14 applied equally well to claim 15.

As regarding claim 16, Sun-Becker-Lloyd discloses annotating of said messages in said recording field by any of said plurality of users; and using said hyperlink for alerting said plurality of users of said annotation (see Lloyd col.22, lines 43-46, HTML tag to create a link). The same motivation was utilized in claim 14, applied equally well to claim 16.

As regarding claim 17, Sun-Becker-Lloyd disclose a) navigating to a desired said message object of said record (see Sun pg.3, paragraph 25-27); b) selecting the desired said message to be annotated (see Sun pg.3, paragraph 25-27); and c) adding

Art Unit: 2143

new handwritten stroke information message objects to said record (see Sun pg.3, paragraph 25-27).

As regarding claims 31-34 the limitations are similar to claims 14-17, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claims 14-17.

As regarding claims 48-51 the limitations are similar to claims 14-17, therefore rejected for the same rationale as claims 14-17.

Art Unit: 2143

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Duyen M Doan whose telephone number is (571) 272-4226. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30am-6:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (571) 272-3923. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Examiner
Duyen Doan
Art unit 2143
DD

William C. Vaughn
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2143
William C. Vaughn, Jr.